

ToXeCo

be al sting a dvi seurs & ju rists

Marina We g 109- H 1081 KM Amsterdam Phone 020 - 280 ó007

info@taxeco.nl www.taxeco.nl

Attn: Mr. Drs. Richard van Zwol, the

formateur

Amsterdam, June 18, 2024

Subject: Objection sign prime ministerial candidate Dick Schoof knowingly inteerity shenanigans

Dear Mr. Van Zwol,

I would like to draw your attention VOor the following.

On June 14, 2024, you, as formateur, met with Prime Ministerial candidate Drs. Dick Schoof. During your conversation, you most likely asked Mr. Schoof, as part of screening, questions about whether he would have been directly/indirectly involved Dij certain issues that could jeopardize the envisioned cabinet.

You will understand that I first gave Mr. Schoof all the space he needed to make the following known to you himself, namely that he cooperated in the name change of a ministry at the request of and in favor of now ex-Minister Ferdinand Grapperhaus (which changes cost approximately EUR 32 million). This information was also known to Pieter Omtzigt, as then CDA Member of Parliament. Until now I have chosen not to report this, but the time has now come to do so.

During the formation of cabinet Rutte-III, my disciplinary complaint against Grapperhaus (then prospective Minister of Security and Justice), for incorrect information provision, came up in his conversation with **formateur** Mark Rutte. In terms of screening, the then formateur wanted to know to what extent this disciplinary complaint could still receive a fair trial, if the disciplinary judges are appointed by the Minister of Security and Justice (read: Grapperhaus) in accordance with the then Applicable Section 46b fid 2 Law on Advocates.

Grapperhaus had to get an opinion for this from Professor Floris Bannier, but did not tell him that he was a ministerial candidate for Justice. In other words, Bannier could not take into account in his opinion whether the process would be fair if the disciplinary judges could be appointed or reappointed by Minister Grapperhaus. This is also evident from Bannier's next quote to NOS, I quote:

To Xe Co mer of Commerce. 34096824



"According to professor emeritus Floris Bannier, it has never eercfer occurred that a minister has to answer bj' a disciplinary tribunal. The professor of advocacy also calls the challenge "very interesting. kant nobody else than a judge can judge this Minister of Justice, and they are all appointed by his **ministry**. So who could do this case?""

With Bannier's opinion, Grapperhaus put himself through the vetting process, despite the fact that I informed Rutte that Grapperhaus' full cousin had been sentenced to seven years in prison in the well-known Klimop fraud.

But now comes the precarious point. For the Lawyers Act states that disciplinary judges are appointed by the Minister of Security and Justice. So Grapperhaus, together with Schoof, made sure to change the name of the ministry from "Security and Justice" to "Justice and Security," which change, together with other changes, cost about EUR 32 million*.

Therefore, with this name change, Grapperhaus actually argued during the disciplinary case that the disciplinary judges were not appointed by the Minister of Security and Justice, as this minister would no longer exist due to the name change. The disciplinary judges went along with this*. In this contrived way, Grapperhaus, along with Schoof, served his personal interest at the expense of Dutch society. Omtzigt, as a former CDA'er c.q. former colleague of Grapperhaus, was aware of this and remains silent about it to this day, while he wants to be known as a guardian of the Dutch rule of law; after all the oniMases in the Supplements affair.

This course of events should be further investigated, in the sense that Schoof should be held accountable to the House of Representatives about it, so that thereby the Dutch public is also informed about this integrity violation. In short, I hereby object to Schoof as a prime ministerial candidate.

Awaiting your prompt response.

Sincerely,

Drs. Karim Aachboun

Cc: Mr. Dick Schoof, candidate for the premiership.

^{&#}x27;NOS dated Feb. 6, 2018: 'w'raking requested in disciplinary case against Minister GrapperhBus'.

[°] NOS dated April 2, 2019: "Name change ministries cost 32 million eruo."

Court of Dsicipline dated March 22, 2019 with case number 180124, see rulings 5.5 and 5.6.